



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/566,294 | 09/05/2006 | Paul Kelley | 29953-227807 | 5042 |
| 26694 | 7590 | 06/11/2009 | | |
| VENABLE LLP P.O. BOX 34385 WASHINGTON, DC 20043-9998 | | | | |
| EXAMINER | | | | |
| TAWFIK, SAMEH | | | | |
| ART UNIT | | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| 3721 | | | | |
| MAIL DATE | | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| 06/11/2009 | | PAPER | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/566,294

Applicant(s)

KELLEY ET AL.

Examiner

Sameh H. Tawfik

Art Unit

3721

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Sameh H. Tawfik.

(3) _____

(2) Patrick Miller.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 09 June 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: formed container.

Claim(s) discussed: of record.

Identification of prior art discussed: of record.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: applicant pointed out to the novelty of the invention and the difference between the claimed invention and the applied art. Applicant believe that the applied art '025 does not disclose the combination of the claimed invention, for example does not show "means for capping", "means for supporting" along with the pushing means. The examiner will consider the argued issues, but applicant still need to file a proper response for the previous filed action.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Sameh H. Tawfik/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3721